



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Vuginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/744,692	06/12/2001	Massimo Aleardi	713-428	3317	
7	590 08/11/2003				
Lowe Hauptman Gilman & Berner			EXAMINER		
Suite 310 1700 Diagonal Road			LE, THANH TAM T		
Alexandria, V	A 22314	,	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2839		
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			VM		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/744,692	ALEARDI ET AL.			
.,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thanh-Tam T. Le	2839			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover shet with	1 the correspondence addres	SS		
THE REPLY FILED 22 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendme	application. A proper reply nt which places the applicat	to a tion in		
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b)	)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS date on which the petition under 37 or ension and the corresponding amount and statutory period for reply originally	g date of the final rejection.  OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See  CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension to fithe fee. The appropriate extensions in the final Office action; or (2)	e MPEP  xtension fee sion fee under as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) I they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or se	arch (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or sim	nplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claims	3.		
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ıld be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed a	amendment		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		n considered but does NOT	place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed SC	LELY to issues which were	newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	/s:				
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-5</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐	disapproved by the Examir	ner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem					
10. Other:	, ,		)		
		LYNN FEILD			
	5	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMI	NER		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The limitation "the terminals comprises a metal blade formed in one piece with the track of the circuit" is broadly interpreted by having the fusible material (34) disposed between the end portion (22) of the conductor (36) and the corresponding contact pads (52) of the circuit board (50) as shown in figure 5 of Pawlikowski (5,357,074).